

Published on Web 05/21/2009

Facile Nitrite Reduction and Conversion Cycle of {Fe(NO)}^{6/7} Species: Chemistry of Iron N-Confused Porphyrin Complexes via Protonation/ Deprotonation

Wei-Min Ching,^{†,‡} Chuan-Hung Chuang,[†] Chih-Wei Wu,[§] Chih-Hsiung Peng,[§] and Chen-Hsiung Hung^{*,†}

Institute of Chemistry, Academia Sinica, Nankang Taipei, Taiwan, Department of Chemistry, National Taiwan Normal University, Taipei, Taiwan, and Department of Chemistry, National Changhua University of Education, Changhua, Taiwan

Received March 8, 2009; E-mail: chhung@chem.sinica.edu.tw

Nitrite anion (NO₂⁻) is considered as a major intravascular nitric oxide (NO) storage pool.¹ Recently, in a mammalian system, Gladwin et al. demonstrated that infusion of nitrite into an artery can induce vasodilation even when NO synthase is inhibited.² It is therefore conceivable that NO2⁻ can be transformed into NO by deoxyhemoglobin (deoxyHb) under hypoxic conditions. In bacteria, NO₂⁻ is reduced to NO by heme-containing nitrite reductases (NiRs), e.g., cytochrome cd₁ NiR from *Paracoccus pantotrophus*,³ cytochrome c NiR from Wolinella succinogenes,4 and sulfite reductase from E. coli,⁵ etc. Most recently, a literature report provided structural evidence of an O-bound nitrite on the heme center of human hemoglobin.⁶ Meanwhile, mechanistic studies carried out by Estrin et al. indicate that protonation on N-bound NO₂⁻ facilitates the nitrite reduction to a labile Fe(III)-NO species and the release of a water molecule. Alternatively, O-bound NO₂⁻ generates a hydroxo-ferric porphyrin complex and molecular NO via protonation on the coordinating oxygen atom.⁷ Evidently, in both N- and O-bound reaction mechanisms, deliverable proton(s) and oxidation of iron are essential characteristics in deciphering the mechanism of nitrite reduction.

Scheme 1^e



 a (a) 1 equiv of NaNO₂; (b) 0.5 equiv of [PPN(NO₂)]; (c) HClO₄; (d) Co(Cp)₂; (e) air; (c') NEt₃; (d') AgClO₄; (e') PhSH.

Despite the vast number of studies, thus far only the electrochemistry⁸ and oxygen acceptor assisting nitrite reduction^{9–11} have been documented. To the best of our knowledge, there is no report on a ferrous porphyrin complex that can biomimetically convert NO_2^- to form an {Fe(NO)}⁶ nitrosyl species. To model the reduction of nitrite anion, the unique properties of altering the

[†] Academia Sinica.

tautomer form of the porphyrin skeleton¹² and simultaneously serving as a one- or two-proton donor within $[Fe(HCTPPH)Br]^{13}$ are utilized; herein we report a facile nitrite reduction by [Fe(H-CTPPH)Br] without the presence of a reductant or an oxygen acceptor (Scheme 1). It is noteworthy that, by controlling the stoichiometry of nitrite, either [Fe(CTPP)NO] ($\{Fe(NO)\}^6$) or [Fe(HCTPP)NO] ($\{Fe(NO)\}^7$) can be obtained. Also, [Fe(HCTP-P)NO][CIO₄] was isolated as an intermediate between the conversion process of [Fe(CTPP)NO] and [Fe(HCTPP)NO], demonstrating that protonation on the peripheral nitrogen of N-confused porphyrin can tune the electronic structure of the Fe–NO moiety.



Figure 1. Absorption spectra of iron N-confused porphyrin nitrosyl complexes in CH₂Cl₂. The insert shows changes in the absorption spectrum over 90 min when 2.5 mL of [Fe(*H*CTPP*H*)Br] (2.05×10^{-5} M in CH₂Cl₂) was treated with 52 μ L of NaNO₂ (9.97 $\times 10^{-3}$ M in MeOH).

In this study, we find that reaction of Fe^{II}(HCTPPH)Br with 1 equiv of NaNO₂ under an inert atmosphere at ambient temperature results in [Fe(CTPP)NO] (Scheme 1a). The UV-vis spectrum, shown in Figure 1, exhibits absorption maxima at 392, 435, and 515 nm for [Fe(CTPP)NO]. Notably, [Fe(CTPP)NO], which is isolated in 97% yield, is an air-stable compound and can be further purified by means of silica gel column chromatography in a room atmosphere. The FT-IR spectrum of this product gives a ν (NO) at 1771 cm⁻¹, and the crystallographic analysis (Figure 2) reveals an Fe-N-O angle of 180° and a N-O distance of 1.128(10) Å, which are consistent with the $\{Fe(NO)\}^6$ electronic structure according to the Enemark-Feltham notation.¹⁴ Furthermore, the EPR silent characteristic, the ¹H NMR spectrum with six sets of doublet resonances between 8.30 and 8.10 ppm for β -pyrrolic protons, and the singlet at 9.50 ppm for the proton alongside the outer nitrogen explicitly confirm the {Fe(NO)}⁶ electronic structure. Although further spectroscopic information is required, the observation of a resonance at -19.92 ppm (vs MeNO₂) for NO in the ¹⁵N NMR spectrum using isotopically enriched [Fe(CTPP)(¹⁵NO)] in CDCl₃

^{*} National Taiwan Normal University.

[§] National Changhua University of Education.

suggests that nitrosonium (NO⁺) is the most likely electronic configuration present in this NO complex.15



Figure 2. ORTEP representation of [Fe(CTPP)NO] (left) and [Fe(HCT-PP)NO] (right) in 25% ellipsoids. Only one conformation of the disordered NCP ring and NO moiety are presented in each complex.

Distinctive differences in chemical reactivity and stability between regular and N-confused iron-nitrosyl porphyrin complexes were observed. First, regular iron(III)-nitrosyl porphyrin complexes have been reported to slowly release NO in the solid state and rapidly lose NO while in solution; however, [Fe(CTPP)NO] in solution is stable under atmospheric conditions. Second, instantaneous nitrite reduction was observed as long as NO₂⁻ salt was treated with the solution of [Fe(HCTPPH)Br] at ambient temperature. This is in striking contrast to the observation that nitrite can stably coordinate to the iron(II) center of a normal porphyrin complex without evidence of any further reaction.¹⁶ This nitrite reduction carried out by [Fe(HCTPPH)Br] is, in addition, distinctively different from that induced by iron-corrole complexes, which reduce nitrite to NO from the Fe(IV) oxidation state at elevated temperature.¹⁷ Importantly, for the formation of an iron-nitrosyl complex, nitrite transformation to NO by a disproportionation reaction is also a potential mechanism.¹⁸ Nevertheless, the quantitative yield of [Fe(CTPP)NO] from nitrite reduction under nonacidic conditions suggests that the disproportionation reaction is improbable. The presence of inner C-H and peripheral N-H protons on [Fe(HCTPPH)Br], which can be readily transferred to a nitro group to release molecular water or hydroxide, on the other hand, appears to play an essential role in the facile nitrite reduction.

To better understand the electron/proton-transfer process during the nitrite reduction, stoichiometric control of [Fe^{II}(*H*CTPP*H*)Br] and nitrite salt was performed. Quantitative yields of {Fe(NO)}⁷ [Fe(HCTPP)NO] together with an equal equivalent of [Fe^{III}(HCT-PP)Br] were isolated on treating [Fe^{II}(HCTPPH)Br] with 0.5 equiv of [PPN(NO₂)] (PPN: bis(triphenylphosphine)iminium) under an inert atmosphere (Scheme 1b). As expected, the $\{Fe(NO)\}^7$ [Fe(HCTPP)NO] is extremely air-sensitive and will be rapidly oxidized to [Fe(CTPP)NO] when exposed to air. The crystallographic analysis of [Fe(HCTPP)NO] (Figure 2) reveals an angle of 151.8(6)° for Fe-N-O and a N-O bond distance of 1.171(13) Å; both are in the range for a $\{Fe(NO)\}^7$ electronic structure. The UV-vis spectrum, shown in Figure 1, exhibits absorption maxima at 423 and 750 nm for [Fe(HCTPP)NO], which is distinctively different from that of $\{Fe(NO)\}^6$ [Fe(CTPP)NO]. The $\nu(NO)$ of 1640 cm⁻¹ for [Fe(*H*CTPP)NO] is in agreement with an {Fe(NO)}⁷ electronic structure. In addition, an isotropic EPR absorption envelope at 298 K (g = 2.0289 and $a_N = 16.309$ G) and broadened ¹H NMR resonances between 5.5 and 10.5 ppm for [Fe(HCTP-P)NO] also imply a paramagnetic {Fe(NO)}⁷ compound.

By taking advantage of the dual tautomeric forms on the N-confused porphyrin ring, which alternate during the protonation/ deprotonation process,¹⁹ it is possible to study the interconversion between the $\{Fe(NO)\}^6$ [Fe(CTPP)NO] and $\{Fe(NO)\}^7$ [Fe(HCT-PP)NO]. The protonation on [Fe(CTPP)NO] by HClO₄ resulted in the cationic complex [Fe(HCTPP)NO][ClO₄]. The same product can be prepared by oxidation of [Fe(HCTPP)NO] with AgClO₄. As expected, in the presence of a base, $[Fe(HCTPP)NO][ClO_4]$ is readily converted back to [Fe(CTPP)NO]. Moreover, cobaltocene, which is a potent reductant, can reduce $[Fe(HCTPP)NO]^+$ back to [Fe(HCTPP)NO]. The ν (NO) at 1796 cm⁻¹ for [Fe(HCTPP)NO]- $[ClO_4]$ is 25 cm⁻¹ higher in energy than that in [Fe(CTPP)NO], but it is still in the range of a $\{Fe(NO)\}^6$ electronic structure. Direct reduction of {Fe(NO)}⁶ [Fe(CTPP)NO] to {Fe(NO)}⁷ [Fe(HCTP-P)NO] by PhSH along with its backward oxidation reaction by dioxygen completes a conversion cycle for these three iron-nitrosyl N-confused porphyrin complexes. The shift of ν (NO) toward higher energy and the increased bending angle of Fe-N-O from the crystal structure of [Fe(HCTPP)NO](ClO₄) (Figure S10) confirm that protonation is an effective route to alter the electronic structure of N-confused metalloporphyrin.

In summary, we have, without complicated synthetic procedures possibly involving additional substituents as proton donors, demonstrated that [Fe^{II}(HCTPPH)Br] can readily reduce nitrite by taking advantage of the acidic peripheral N-H proton and the inner C-H proton. [Fe^{II}(*HCTPPH*)Br] is the first model complex to successfully mimic the reactions in heme-assistant NiRs. Additionally, $[Fe^{II}(H-$ CTPPH)Br] represents a new bioinorganic model compound to orchestrate proton delivery and small-molecule activation. Furthermore, protonation on the peripheral nitrogen of the N-confused porphyrin ring is an attractive and novel way to further tune the electronic structure of porphyrin complexes.

Acknowledgment. The financial supports from National Science Council (Taiwan) and Academia Sinica are greatly acknowledged.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental Section, including detailed synthetic procedure, characterization data, and CIFs. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- (1)Lundberg, J. O.; Weitzberg, E.; Gladwin, M. T. Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery 2008, 7, 156-167.
- (2)Gladwin, M. T.; Grubina, R.; Doyle, M. P. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 157-167.
- (3) Williams, P. A.; Fulop, V.; Garman, E. F.; Saunders, N. F. W.; Ferguson, S. J.; Hajdu, J. *Nature* **1997**, *389*, 406–412.
- (4) Einsle, O.; Messerschmidt, A.; Huber, R.; Kroneck, P. M. H.; Neese, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 11737–11745.
- (5) Crane, B. R.; Siegel, L. M.; Getzoff, E. D. Biochemistry 1997, 36, 12120-12137.
- (6) Yi, J.; Safo, M. K.; Richter-Addo, G. B. Biochemistry 2008, 47, 8247-8249.
- Perissinotti, L. L.; Marti, M. A.; Doctorovich, F.; Luque, F. J.; Estrin, D. A. (7)Biochemistry 2008, 47, 9793-9802.
- (8) Chi, Y.; Chen, J.; Aoki, K. Inorg. Chem. 2004, 43, 8437-8446.
- (9) Castro, C. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 3984–3985.
 (10) O'Shea, S. K.; Wang, W.; Wade, R. S.; Castro, C. E. J. Org. Chem. 1996,
- 61, 6388-6395. (11) Lim, M. D.; Lorkovic, I. M.; Ford, P. C. J. Inorg. Biochem. 2005, 99,
- 151-165. (12) Furuta, H.; Ishizuka, T.; Osuka, A.; Dejima, H.; Nakagawa, H.; Ishikawa,
- Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 6207-6208.
- (13) We use the symbol CTPP to denote the trianion N-confused porphyrin macrocycle. The proton attached to the outer nitrogen will be indicated by a prefix in italic and the hydrogen attached to the inner carbon will appear as a suffix in italic
- (14) Enemark, J. H.; Feltham, R. D. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1974, 13, 339-406. (15) Mason, J.; Larkworthy, L. F.; Moore, E. A. Chem. Rev. 2002, 102, 913-
- 934
- (16) Nasri, H.; Wang, Y.; Huynh, B. H.; Scheidt, W. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 717–719.
- (17) Simkhovich, L.; Goldberg, I; Gross, Z. Inorg. Chem. 2002, 41, 5433–5439.
 (18) Finnegan, M. G.; Lappin, A. G.; Scheidt, W. R. Inorg. Chem. 1990, 29, 181 - 185
- Maeda, H.; Ishikawa, Y.; Matsuda, T.; Osuka, A.; Furuta, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 11822–11823.

JA901796J